

**From:** Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US  
**Sent:** 4/26/2012 3:00:12 PM  
**To:** Sgraves@TechLawInc.com  
**CC:** Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA; Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Subject:** RE: Proposal for resampling at Dimock

For the purposes of procuring the blank water, assume you are sampling for everything that was sampled for during the first round.

I will ask for a list of what was detected, but I am curious what difference it will make to you?

---

**From:** "Graves, Suddha" <Sgraves@TechLawInc.com>  
**To:** Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US  
**Cc:** Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Date:** 04/26/2012 02:53 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Proposal for resampling at Dimock

One thing that would help is to have a list of the contaminants that showed up in the blank water. Off the top of my head, I know there were issues with some of the low-concentration VOCs, but now that we are not analyzing for that parameter the issue may resolve itself. Also, do you have a general idea on when we will be sampling? This would be important so we have enough time to get the water sample to a lab and receive the data back in time to order another water supply (if necessary).

Thanks,

Suddha Graves  
**TechLaw, Inc.**

**From:** Richard Rupert [mailto:Rupert.Richard@epamail.epa.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 26, 2012 2:42 PM  
**To:** Graves, Suddha  
**Cc:** Kelley Chase; Richard Fetzer  
**Subject:** RE: Proposal for resampling at Dimock

Suddha, I think you should procure any blank water you will need for the next round of sampling and get a sample of it to the lab to assure it is not contaminated. This should be done in time to procure another supply of water if it becomes necessary.

thanks  
Rich

---

Richard Rupert,  
On-Scene Coordinator  
U.S. EPA  
1650 Arch Street - 3HS31  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
(215) 814-3463 - office  
215 514-8773 - mobile  
[rupert.richard@epa.gov](mailto:rupert.richard@epa.gov)

"The commander in the field is always right and the rear echelon is wrong, unless proved otherwise."  
Colin Powell

From: "Graves, Suddha" <Sgraves@TechLawInc.com>  
To: Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US, Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 04/26/2012 09:40 AM  
Subject: RE: Proposal for resampling at Dimock

Thanks Rich!

Suddha Graves  
TechLaw, Inc.

**From:** Richard Fetzer [<mailto:Fetzer.Richard@epamail.epa.gov>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 26, 2012 9:00 AM  
**To:** Graves, Suddha  
**Cc:** Richard Rupert; Kelley Chase  
**Subject:** Fw: Proposal for resampling at Dimock

Suddha,

Here's the most up-to-date version that has been send to HQ for review. You should refer to this, for preparation of the sampling plan by Friday.

Yes, include plans for both tap and wellhead sampling.

Call me if you have questions.

rich

Richard M. Fetzer  
Federal On-Scene Coordinator  
100 Gypsum Road  
Stroudsburg, PA 18360  
(215) 341-6307

----- Forwarded by Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US on 04/26/2012 08:33 AM -----

From: Dennis Carney/R3/USEPA/US  
To: Dana Tulis/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, [eoc\\_environmental\\_unit@epa.gov](mailto:eoc_environmental_unit@epa.gov)  
Cc: Gilberto Irizarry/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Terry Smith/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Janine Dinan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Gerald Heston/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 04/25/2012 08:54 AM  
Subject: Proposal for resampling at Dimock

As a follow-up to yesterday afternoon's conference call with Mathy and others, attached is the R3 proposal for resampling homes at Dimock. Please contact OSC Rich Fetzer at (215) 341-6307 with questions.

Please note we have begun to develop a sampling plan based on this proposal and will make adjustments to that plan as it is drafted based on your input to this proposal. We hope to have a sampling plan to the EU for review next week so we can begin resampling sometime the week of 5/7/12. Thanks, dennis.